SGLT-2 Inhibitors in Chronic Kidney Disease and Heart Failure: Going with the "-Flozin"



Haley Tierce, PharmD

PGY-2 Pharmacotherapy Resident University of the Incarnate Word Feik School of Pharmacy November 19, 2021

Learning Objectives

- Pharmacists:
 - \circ Describe the shared pathophysiology of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and heart failure (HF).
 - o Discuss the cardiovascular and renal benefits of SGLT-2 inhibition in CKD and HF.
 - Summarize the effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors on mortality, heart failure hospitalizations, and renal outcomes in patients with CKD and HF.
- Pharmacy Technicians:
 - Recall the shared pathophysiology of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and heart failure (HF).
 - List the cardiovascular and renal benefits of SGLT-2 inhibition in CKD and HF.
 - Review the effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors on mortality, heart failure hospitalizations, and renal outcomes in patients with CKD and HF.

Background

- Definition^{1,2}
 - Heart Failure (HF)
 - Defined by abnormal structural and/or functional cardiac function which leads to decreased cardiac output with or without increased intracardiac pressure at rest or in periods of stress (2016 ESC HF Guidelines)
 - Classified based on ejection fraction: reduced ejection fraction <40% (HFrEF), preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), and midrange ejection fraction 40-49% (HFmrEF)
 - Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)
 - Defined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR < 60mL/min/1.73 m²) or at least 1 marker of kidney dysfunction for > 3 months
 - Markers include:
 - o Albuminuria
 - Urine sediment abnormalities
 - Histological abnormalities
 - Structural abnormalities

Table 1. CKD Stages per Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Guidelines²

Stage	Description	eGFR (mL/min/1.73m ²)
1	Normal or high	≥90
2	Mildly decreased	60-89
3a	Mildly to moderately decreased	45-59
3b	Moderately to severely decreased	30-44
4	Severely decreased	15-29
5	Kidney Failure	<15 (or dialysis)

- Epidemiology¹⁻⁴
 - In patients with HF:
 - Expected to affect greater than 8 million people in the United States
 - 1 million HF hospitalizations per year
 - Estimated 55% of patients with HFrEF and HFpEF have CKD G3a or higher

Figure 1. Prevalence of Non-Cardiac Comorbidities in HF⁴



- In patients with CKD:
 - About 500 million people in the United States report CKD Stage 3 or higher
 - Estimated risk of developing new onset HF in known CKD: 17-21%

- Probability of developing HF increases as CKD progresses
- HF was ~4x more common in patients with CKD versus without CKD

Figure 2. Prevalence of HF Stratified by CKD Status⁵



- Shared Pathophysiology of CKD and HF⁶
 - CKD and HF share risk factors and comorbidities that each contribute to their development.
 - Cardiac dysfunction leads to increased activation of sympathetic nervous system and RAAS activity which results in sodium and water retention, inflammation, and increased afterload.
 - Decreased cardiac output and increased central venous pressure (increased preload) leads to decreased renal blood flow and renal dysfunction.
 - Comorbidities such as coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, infiltrative processes, atrial fibrillation, and mitral/aortic valvular disease contribute to cardiac dysfunction and progressive volume overload. (House)
 - Additionally, T2DM, obesity, and anemia can contribute to chronic pressure overload leading to progressive volume overload. (House)

Figure 3. Pathophysiology of CKD and HF⁶



- Risk of Concomitant CKD and HF⁷⁻¹⁰
 - Increased risk of all cause mortality, CV mortality, and HF hospitalization in patients with CKD and HF including HFpEF, HFrEF, and HFmrEF.^{6,7}
 - In patients with end stage renal disease, one study found that the likelihood of death increases by 3-, 4-, and 6- fold with each successive HF hospitalization.⁸
 - Increased risk of mortality with each successive stage of CKD.⁹

Figure 4. Probability of Survival of HF Patients by CKD Status¹¹



- Guideline Directed Medical Therapy (GDMT)¹²
 - Treatment of HFrEF traditionally included RAAS inhibitors (ACEi, ARB, ARNIs), beta blockers and MRAs that are proven to reduce morbidity and mortality.
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors are the newest members of GDMT that have been shown to significantly reduce mortality, HF hospitalizations, and improve quality of life when added to the current standard drugs in patients with HFrEF.
 - Notably, ACEi/ARBs are also first line medications in CKD because of the prevent adverse renal outcomes (decline in eGFR, progression to dialysis), decrease risk of cardiovascular death and decrease all cause mortality.

Figure 5. Guideline Directed Medical Therapy (GDMT)¹²



- Limitations of GDMT in HFrEF and CKD^{13,14}
 - Increased risk of ADRs including hyperkalemia, acute kidney injury, hypotension, and bradycardia
 - Limited evidence in advance CKD (stage 4 and 5)
 - \circ $\,$ Leads to:

- ↓ACEi or ARB use approaching dialysis
- ↓prescription rates of GDMT compared to non-CKD patients

Table 2. Heart Failure Studies with Renal Cutoffs¹³

Trial, yr	Age and Diabetes	<creatinine (mean)="" or="">eGFR</creatinine>					
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors							
SAVE 1992	59 yr, 29%	<2.5 mg/dl					
Angiotensin receptor block	Angiotensin receptor blockers						
CHARM 2003	66 yr, 28%	<3 mg/dl					
β-Blockers							
CIBIS II 1999	61 yr, 12%	<3.4 mg/dl					
MERIT HF 1999	63 yr, 25%	—					
Mineralocorticoid receptor	antagonists						
RALES 1999	65 yr, NA	<2.5 mg/dl					
EPHESUS 2003	64 yr, 32%	<2.5 mg/dl (1.1 mg/dl)					
Angiotensin receptor nepril	ysin inhibitors						
PARADIGM HF 2014	64 yr, 35%	>30 ml/min (1.1 mg/dl)					

Role of SGLT-2i in HFrEF and CKD

- What are SGLT-2 inhibitors?¹⁵⁻¹⁷
 - Mechanism of Action: Inhibits sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) in proximal renal tubules → decreased glucose reabsorption and lowered renal threshold for reabsorption → increased urinary excretion of glucose; decreased plasma glucose concentrations
 - SGLT-2 protein → reabsorbs 90% of filtered glucose
 - SGLT-1 protein → reabsorbs 10% of filtered glucose
- SGLT2i in T2DM
 - SGLT-2i significantly reduce the risk of CV death and HF hospitalizations patients with T2DM including those with or without HF.
 - CREDENCE provided specific results for patients with CKD and T2DM and found that canagliflozin significantly reduced the risk of CKD progression and renal outcomes like ESRD and doubling of creatinine.

Figure 6. Risk of HF Hospitalization with SGLT-2i use in T2DM¹⁷

	Treatment		Placebo				
	No./total No.	Rate/1000 patient-years	No./total No.	Rate/1000 patient-years	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Favors treatment	Favors placebo
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	126/4687	9.4	95/2333	14.5	0.65 (0.50-0.85)		
CANVAS program	NA/5795	5.5	NA/4347	8.7	0.67 (0.52-0.87)		
DECLARE-TIMI 58	212/8582	6.2	286/8578	8.5	0.73 (0.61-0.88)	⊢●⊣	
CREDENCE	89/2202	15.7	141/2199	25.3	0.61 (0.47-0.80)		
VERTIS CV	139/5499	7.3	99/2747	10.5	0.70 (0.54-0.90)		
Fixed-effects model (Q=	1.39; df = 4; P = .8	35; I ² =0.0%)			0.68 (0.61-0.76)	- -	
							+
						0.2	1 2
						HR (95% CI)	

- Mechanism of Cardiovascular Benefits¹⁸
 - o Diuretic Hypothesis: Osmotic Diuresis and Natriuresis
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors reduce sodium and water retention resulting in osmotic diuresis. This
 action decreases ventricular filling pressure which decreases cardiac workload.
 - This may be connected to activation of tubuloglomerular feedback which does not occur with other diuretics such as loop and thiazide diuretics.
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors are associated with higher interstitial fluid clearance from circulation which could relieve congestion without significantly impacting BP, arterial filling or lead to neurohumoral activation.
 - o Thrifty Substrate Hypothesis: Direct Cardiovascular Effects
 - Type 2 diabetes results in a shift in metabolism from glucose utilization to oxidation of fatty acids due to increased insulin resistance.
 - Fatty acid oxygenation is less energy efficient and also results in decreased cardiac function (increased oxidative stress and lipotoxicity).
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors increase beta-hydroxybutyrate by stimulating hepatic synthesis and preventing the excretion of ketones. Beta-hydroxybutyrate is able to be used as energy over fatty acids and glucose in the heart and kidney resulting in improved energy efficiency.

Figure 7. Mechanism of Action of SGLT-2 Inhibitors: Cardiovascular¹⁸



- Mechanism of Renal Benefits¹⁹
 - Reduction in Intraglomerular Pressure (Restoration of Tubuloglomerular Feedback)
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors decrease sodium absorption in proximal tubule and increase delivery
 of sodium to distal tubules. This results in reversal of afferent arteriole vasodilation and
 efferent arteriole vasoconstriction which relieves glomerular hypertension.
 - o Neurohormonal Improvement
 - Decreased intrarenal RAAS activity and SNS activity which can contribute to fibrogenesis and arterial stiffness.
 - Decreased Inflammation/ Fibrosis
 - Chronic inflammation may contribute to kidney disease progression. Chronic hypoxia, hyperglycemia and RAAS activation may lead to fibrogenesis. SGLT-2 inhibitors reduce markers of inflammation and fibrogenesis. Anti-fibrotic action appears to be mediated through mTORC1 inhibition.
 - Improved Renal Metabolism
 - SGLT-2 inhibitors decrease the amount of sodium and glucose load on the tubules resulting in improved oxygenation and tubule protection.

Figure 8. Mechanism of Action of SGLT-2 Inhibitors: Renal¹⁹



Figure 9. Results of DAPA-HF and EMPEROR-Reduced Trials^{20,21}

DAPA-HF

- Dapagliflozin 10mg daily vs placebo
- CV Mortality
 - 10.0% vs 13.7%
 - HR 0.70; 95% CI 0.59-0.83
- HF Hospitalization
 - 9.6% vs 11.5%
 - HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.69-0.98

EMPEROR-Reduced

- Empagliflozin 10mg daily vs placebo
- CV Mortality
 - 10.0% vs 10.8%
- HR 0.92; 95% CI 0.75-1.12
- HF Hospitalization
 - 13.2% vs18.3%
 - HR 0.69; 95% CI 0.59-0.81
- 2021 Updates in HFrEF Treatment¹²
 - o Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors
 - First line guideline directed medical therapy based on results from DAPA-HF and EMPEROR-Reduced trials
 - Agents of Choice
 - Dapagliflozin 10mg once daily
 - Empagliflozin 10mg once daily
 - SGLT-2 inhibitor not recommended if:
 - eGFR < 30ml/min/1.73m² for dapagliflozin
 - eGFR < 20ml/min/1.73m² for empagliflozin
 - Dialysis
- Concerns of Using SGLT-2i in CKD¹⁶
 - Acute Kidney Injury
 - Post marketing reports of AKI requiring hospitalization and dialysis
 - Risk Factors
 - Hypovolemia
 - Chronic Renal Insufficiency
 - Congestive Heart Failure
 - Concomitant Medications (diuretics, ACEi, ARBs, NSAIDs)

Clinical Controversy

• Are the cardiac and renal benefits of SGLT-2 inhibitors consistent across the spectrum of kidney function in patients with CKD and HF?

Literature Review

Jhund PS, Solomon SD, Docherty KF, et al. Efficacy of Dapagliflozin on Renal Function and Outcomes in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: Results of DAPA-HF.						
Objective	To determine if dapagliflozin reduced	es CV mortality and hear	t failure hospitalizations in	patients		
Methods						
Study Design	Multicenter, double-blind, randomiz	zed, placebo-controlled tr	ial			
Population	 Conducted in 410 centers Age at least 18 years Ejection fraction ≤ 40% NYHA Class II, III, or IV Plasma NT-proBNP level ≥ 600pg/mL OR ≥ 400pg/mL if hospitali for HF within previous ≥ 900 pg/mL if patient I fibrillation/ flutter 	zation 12 months OR had atrial	cclusion Criteria Unacceptable side effect SGLT-2 inhibitor Type 1 diabetes Hypotension/ SBP < 95n eGFR ≤ 30mL/min/ 1.73 "Unstable or rapidly prog renal disease" Current HF decompensa hospitalization within 4 w MI, unstable angina, stro within 3 months	ts from hmHg m2 pressing tion or HF reeks ske, or TIA		
Intervention	 Intervention (n=2,373): Dapagliflozin 10mg once daily Control (n=2,371): Placebo Required to receive standard guideline directed medical therapy including an ACEi/ARB/ARNI and beta blocker unless not tolerated or contraindicated. Encouraged to use an MRA 					
Outcomes	 Primary Outcome: Composite (HF Hospitalization and Cardiovascular Death) Secondary Outcomes: Individual components of primary outcome (HF hospitalization, Cardiovascular Death) HF Hospitalizations (first and recurrent) All-cause death 					
Statistical Analysis	Estimated 844 primary outcome events needed to provide a power of 90% to detect a hazard ratio of 0.80 assuming an annual event incidence of 11% in the placebo group Estimated 4500 patients needed to provide an adequate number of primary outcome events Alpha level of 0.0499 used Used intention to treat analysis					
Results						
Baseline Characteristics	Characteristic Age, mean, yr Male, n (%) Body mass index, median	eGFR < 60mL/min/ 1.73m2 (n=1926) 70.9±9.0 1392 (72.3) 28.4±5.8	eGFR ≥ 60mL/min/ 1.73m2 (n=2816) 63.2±11.0 2241 (79.6) 28.0±6.0	P-value <0.001 <0.001 0.009		
	(IQR), kg/m ² eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean Ejection Fraction, % NYHA Class II	47.0±8.0 31.3±6.6 1267 (65.8)	78.7±13.5 30.9±6.9 1934 (68.7)	- 0.069 0.043		
	III IV Medical History, n (%) T2DM Atrial Fibrillation Ischemic Cause of HF	645 (33.5) 14 (0.7) 982 (51.0) 880 (45.7) 1174 (61.0)	853 (30.3) 29 (1.0) 1157 (41.1) 938 (33.3) 1498 (53.2)	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001		

	Medications ACEi/ARB ARNI Beta Blocker MRA Diuretic			1542 (80.1) 221 (11.5) 1838 (95.4) 1296 (67.3) 1835 (95.3)		24 28 27 20 25	08 (85.5) 37 (10.2) 18 (96.5) 74 (73.7) 97(92.2)	<0.0 0.7 0.0 < 0.1 <0.0)01 16 58 001 001
Outcomes	Cardiovascular Outcomes	eGFR < 60 Dapagliflozi (n=962)	mL/n in	nin/1.73m2 Placebo (n=964)	eGF Dapa (n:	R ≥ 60mL/ ngliflozin =1410)	min/1.73m2 Placebo (n=1406)	P value	
	Cardiovascular	191 (19.9)		254 (26.4)	195	5 (13.9)	248 (17.6)	0.54	
	hospitalization	HR 0.72	2 (0.5	9-0.86)	F	IR 0.76 (0.	63-0.92)	0.54	
	Cardiovascular	119 (12.4)		134 (13.9)	10	8 (7.7)	139 (9.9)	0.44	
	Death	HR 0.88	3 (0.6	9-1.13)	F	IR 0.76 (0.	59-0.98)	0.44	
		120 (12.5)		173 (18.0)	11	7 (8.3)	153 (10.9)	0.00	
	HF Hospitalization	HR 0.66	6 (0.5	52-0.83)	F	IR 0.75 (0.	59-0.95)	0.39	
		18 (1.9)		19 (2.0)	10	0 (0.7)	20 (1.4)		
	Renal Composite	HR 0.95	5 (0.5	0-1.82)		IR 0.49 (0.	23-1.06)	0.19	
			X	/		(-	/		
	Renal Outcomes	Dapagliflo	ozin	Placebo		HR (95	5% CI)	P value	
	Composite	28 (1.2)	39 (1.6)		0.71 (0.4	4-1.16)	0.17	
	• ≥50% decline in eGFR	14 (0.6)	23 (1.0)		0.60 (0.31-1.16)		0.13	
	ESRD	16 (0.7)	16 (0.7))	1.00 (0.50-1.99)		0.99	
	Renal Death	0		1 (0.04)	1			-	
	Rate of eGFR I 2.85 with place	Decline: Dapa bo after the fi	agliflo irst 2	ozin was asso weeks of trea	ociated atment	with a slo (p<0.001)	pe of -1.09 c	compared	to -
	(eGFR <60ml/min/1.	.73m ² only)		(n=960)	1	(n=9	62)	P value	
	Serious adverse	e event		417 (43.4)		482 (5	i0.1)	0.003	_
	Volume deple	etion		97 (10.1)		86 (8.9)		0.22	-
	Major hypoglyc	cemia		3 (0.3)		0 (0.	.0)	0.12	
Author's Conclusion	"In DAPA-HF, the bene outcomes were consiste reductions in patients w	fits of dapagl ent in patient vith lower eGI	iflozii s witł FR."	n on the prima h and without	ary and low e0	d seconda GFR, with	ry cardiovas greater absc	cular olute risk	
Critique	 Je Strengths Based off large patient population from randomized controlled trial Encouraged to use GDMT to compare against standard of care (including ACEi, ARB, ARNI, BB, and MRA) Included patients without diabetes Limitations Post Hoc analysis Excluded patients with stage 4 CKD (eGFR < 30mL/min/1.73m²) Low event rate in renal outcomes may have led to Type II error Unable to assess effect of dapagliflozin on urinary albumin: creatinine ratio 								
Take Home Points	Dapagliflozin is safe an should be used to decre Dapagliflozin slowed th not statistically different	d efficacious ease the risk e progressior t.	in pa of HI n of re	atients with H F hospitalizati enal dysfunct	F rega ions ar ion, ho	rdless of b nd cardiova owever, rer	aseline rena ascular deat nal clinical o	Il function h. utcomes w	and /ere

Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al; DAPA-CKD Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med. 2020:383(15):1436-1446 ²³						
Objective	Determine the safety and efficacy of dapagli	flozin in CKD patients with	or without diabetes.			
Methods						
Study Design	 Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, place Conducted in 386 sites in 21 countri 	bo-controlled trial es from February 2017 to J	une 2020			
Population	 Inclusion Criteria eGFR ≥25 and ≤75 mL/min/1.73 m² Urine ACR ≥200 and ≤5,000 mg/g Receiving maximum daily dose of ACE inhibitor or ARB for ≥ 4 weeks (patients who were unable to take ACE inhibitors or ARBs were allowed to participate) 	 Exclusion Criteria Type 1 diabetes Certain kidney diseas disease, Lupus nephi Received immunothe secondary kidney dis NYHA Class IV HF History of organ trans MI, unstable angina, sweeks PCI, CABG, or valvul Active malignancy red AST/ALT > 3x ULN or 	ees (Polycystic kidney ritis, ANCA vasculitis) rapy for primary or ease within 6 months splantation stroke, or TIA within 12 ar repair within 12 weeks quiring treatment r total bilirubin > 2x ULN			
Intervention	Intervention (n=2152): Dapagliflozin 10mg PO once daily Control (n=2152): Placebo • Randomized 1:1 to receive intervention or placebo. Stratified according to diagnosis of T2DM or UACR (≤1000 or > 1000) • Study drug was only discontinued if patient developed diabetic ketoacidosis, became pregnant or developed an ADR that was considered to be a contraindication by the investigators. • Per protocol, the study did not require discontinuation at a particular eGFR cut off and participants were allowed to continue the medication unless the above criteria were met					
Outcomes	 Primary Outcome: Composite of sustained decline in et dialysis for ≥28 days, kidney transplacardiovascular causes Secondary Outcomes: Composite kidney outcome of sustaidisease (as defined above), death fr Composite heart failure hospitalization Death from any cause 	 Primary Outcome: Composite of sustained decline in eGFR ≥ 50%, end-stage kidney disease (maintenance dialysis for ≥28 days, kidney transplantation, or eGFR< 15mL/min), or death from renal or cardiovascular causes Secondary Outcomes: Composite kidney outcome of sustained decline in eGFR ≥ 50%, end-stage kidney disease (as defined above), death from renal causes Composite heart failure hospitalization or cardiovascular death 				
Statistical Analysis	Estimated 681 primary outcome events needed to detect a 22% lower risk with dapagliflozin with 90% power using alpha level of 0.05 (assumed annual event rate of 7.5%) Used Cox proportional hazards regression model to stratify according to type 2 diabetes and urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio Used intention to treat analysis					
Results						
Baseline	Characteristic	Dapagliflozin	Placebo			
Characteristics	Age median (IOR) vr	(1 =2152) 61.8 + 12.1	(1 =2152) 61 9 + 12 1			
	Female n (%)	709 (32 9)	716 (33.3)			
	Body mass index. median (IQR), kg/m ²	29.4 ± 6.0	29.6 ± 6.3			
	eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ² . mean. n (%)	43.2 ± 12.3	43.0 ± 12.4			
	≥ 60	234 (10.9)	220 (10.2)			
	45-60	646 (30.0)	682 (31.7)			
	30-45	979 (45.5)	919 (42.7)			
	<30	293 (13.6)	331 (15.4)			

	Urine Albumin-to-creatinine ra	atio, m	edian,	005 /470 44		00.4	(400 400)	0)
	Medical History, p. (%)			905 (472-19	903)	934 (482-1868)		
				1455 (67	6)	14	151 (67 4)	
	HF			235 (10.9)		233 (10.8)		
	Cardiovascular Disease	Э		813 (37.8	3)	7	97 (37.0)	
	Medications			· · · · ·	/			
	ACEi			673 (31.3	3)	6	81 (31.6)	
	ARB			1444 (67.	1)	14	426 (66.3)	
	Diuretic			928 (43.1	1)	9	54 (44.3)	
0.1	Statin	_		1395 (64.	8)	13	399 (65.0)	
Outcomes	Efficacy Outcomes	Dapa	agliflozin -2152)	Placebo	Treat	ment	P	NNT
	Primary Endpoint	(11	=2152)	(II=2152)	Elleci	95 /8 CI)	value	
	Primary Composite							
	Endpoint	19	7 (9.2)	312 (14.5)	0.61 (0.	51-0.72)	<0.001	19
	Decline in estimated GFR of	44	2 (5 2)	201 (0.2)	0.52.0	40.0.07)		
	≥50%	11	2 (5.2)	201 (9.3)	0.53 (0.4	42-0.67)	-	-
	End-stage kidney disease	10	9 (5.1)	161 (7.5)	0.64 (0.	50-0.82)	-	-
	Death from renal causes	2	(<0.1)	6 (0.3)		-	-	-
	Death from cardiovascular		- (0, 0)					
	causes	6	5 (3.0)	80 (3.7)	0.81 (0.58-1.12)		-	-
	Secondary Endpoint							•
	Composite of decline in							
	estimated GFR of ≥50%,	14	2 (6.6)	243 (11.3)	0.56 (0.4	45-0.68)	< 0.001	22
	end-stage kidney disease,		= (0.0)	,				
	Or death from renal causes							
	cardiovascular causes or							
	hospitalization for heart	10	0 (4.6)	138 (6.4)	0.71 (0.	55-0.92)	0.009	56
	failure							
								·
	Safety Outcomes		Dapa	agliflozin	Pla	acebo	P va	alue
			(n	=2149)	(n=	= 2149)	0.0	
	Repaired adverse event		15	3 (29.3) 55 (7.2)	125	8 (8 7)	0.0	07
	Volume depletion		12	97 (5 9)	90 (4 2)		0.	01
	Major hypoglycemia		1	4 (0.7)	28 (1.3)		0.01	
				. (0)		()		• ·
	Median Follow Up: 2.4	years	(IQR 2.0 t	to 2.7)				
	Subgroup Analysis: da	paglifl	ozin favore	ed over placeb	o in patier	nts with eQ	GFR	
	<45ml/min/1.73m ² (HR	0.63, 9	95% CI 0.5	51-0.78).				
Author's	"We found that participants with	h chro	nic kidney	disease, with o	or without	type 2 dia	betes, wh	o were
Conclusion	randomly assigned to receive of	apagi	TIOZIN NAC	a lower risk of	the prima	ary compo	site outco	me of a
	renal or cardiovascular causes	than r	articipant	s who were as	signed to	ey uiseas receive pl	e, or ueau acebo "	THOM
			Jantopana		olghoù to			
Critique	Strengths		internal					
	Robust that design incl A reasonation to population	reases	s internal v	allulty				
	Large patient population No specific eCEP cut of	off for	discontinu	ation				
	Consistent benefits for	diaha	tic and nor	ndiabetic natie	nte			
	Limitations	alube						
	Trial stopped early due	e to rec	commenda	ation from inde	pendent d	ata monite	orina com	mittee
	Did not specify HF class	sificat	ion				<u> </u>	
	Unclear benefits if patie	ent is i	not already	y receiving an	ACEi/ARE	s or if no n	nicroalbun	ninuria
Take Home	Dapagliflozin significantly reduc	ces the	e risk of ca	ardiovascular a	nd renal c	outcomes	compared	to
1			without die	botoc who are	racaiving	i an ACEi	or APR	

Post Hoc Analysis in HF²⁴

- Background
 - Compared patients with HF (n=468) versus patients without HF (n=3,836)
- Results
 - Patients with HF were more likely to be older and have comorbidities (obesity, cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, and diabetes). Additionally, patients with HF were more likely to use diuretics, beta-blockers, hydralazine, digoxin, and MRAs. Notably, no information on left ventricular ejection fraction was available.
 - Efficacy Endpoints: Although patients with HF were more likely to experience the primary outcome compared to patients without HF, the beneficial renal effects of dapagliflozin were similar between groups. There results were consistent in the cardiovascular outcomes as well.
 - Safety Endpoints: There was an initial "dip" in eGFR with dapagliflozin but the decline of eGFR was attenuated over time indicating long term renal protection. Adverse events were similar in both groups. Acute kidney injury was similar in both groups (3.4% vs 4.3%, HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.28-1.82).
- Conclusion: Dapagliflozin is equally effective in the prevention of renal and cardiovascular disease in patients with HF and CKD compared to patients without HF. No safety concerns noted.

Figure 10. Primary and Secondary Endpoints for Post Hoc Analysis in HF Patients

C Effect of Dapagliflozin, Compared With Placebo, in DAPA-CKD Overall and According to Baseline Heart Failure Status							
	Dapaglifloz n/l	in Placebo V	Dapaglifl Events/100	ozin Placebo Patient-Years		HR (95% CI)	P Value for Interaction
Primary outcome: eGI	R decline ≥50	0%, ESKD, o	r kidney or C	V death	į		
Overall	197/2,152	312/2,152	4.6	7.5	⊢∎⊣ İ	0.61 (0.51-0.72)	
HF at baseline	31/235	51/233	6.5	11.0		0.58 (0.37-0.91)	0.59
No HF at baseline	166/1,917	261/1,919	4.4	7.0		0.62 (0.51-0.75)	
Secondary outcome: e	GFR decline	≥50%, ESKD	, or kidney a	leath			
Overall	142/2,152	243/2,152	3.3	5.8		0.56 (0.45-0.68)	
HF at baseline	13/235	27/233	2.7	5.8 🛏	•	0.45 (0.23-0.87)	0.36
No HF at baseline	129/1,917	216/1,919	3.4	5.8	⊢ •	0.57 (0.46-0.71)	
Secondary outcome: (CV death or he	eart failure h	ospitalizatio	on			
Overall	100/2,152	138/2,152	2.2	3.0	⊢ •−-i	0.71 (0.55-0.92)	
HF at baseline	36/235	48/233	7.1	10.1	⊢_•_+	0.68 (0.44-1.05)	0.90
No HF at baseline	64/1,917	90/1,919	1.6	2.2	⊢_ •i	0.70 (0.51-0.97)	
Secondary outcome: A	All-cause deat	th					
Overall	101/2,152	146/2,152	2.2	3.1	⊨-ei	0.69 (0.53-0.88)	
HF at baseline	24/235	40/233	4.6	7.9	⊢ – – – – – j	0.56 (0.34-0.93)	0.39
No HF at baseline	77/1,917	106/1,919	1.9	2.6	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.73 (0.54-0.97)	
Exploratory outcome:	: Heart failure	hospitalizat	ion				
Overall	37/2,152	71/2,152	0.8	1.6	⊢	0.51 (0.34-0.76)	
HF at baseline	20/235	29/233	3.9	6.1		0.62 (0.35-1.10)	0.28
No HF at baseline	17/1,917	42/1,919	0.4	1.0 —		0.40 (0.23-0.70)	
				0.2	0.5 1		
						—	

Dapagliflozin Better Placebo Better

Table 3. Safety Endpoints for Post Hoc Analysis in HF

Safety Outcomes (HF only)	Dapagliflozin (n=235)	Placebo (n=233)	P value
Any serious AE	130 (55.3)	122 (52.4)	0.055
Renal AE	22 (9.4)	31 (13.3)	0.495
Volume depletion	21 (8.9)	12 (5.2)	0.503
Major hypoglycemia	2 (0.9)	6 (2.6)	0.556

Post Hoc Analysis in CKD Stage 4²⁵

- Background
 - Compared patients with stage 4 CKD (n=624) versus stage 2/3 CKD (n=3,680)
- Results
 - At baseline, patients with stage 4 CKD were more likely to have high UACR and less likely to have type 2 diabetes compared to patients who had stage 2/3 CKD. Additionally, patients were less likely to receive RAAS inhibitors and more likely to receive diuretics.
 - Efficacy Endpoints: Found patients with stage 4 CKD experience similar reductions in the primary outcome compared with stage 2/3 CKD.
 - Safety Endpoints: Found patients with stage 4 CKD were more likely to experience an adverse event compared to patients with stage 2/3 CKD. Kidney related adverse reactions were also more common in patients with stage 4 CKD, however, this result was not statistically significant (15% vs 13%, HR 1.12, 95% CI 0.71-1.77)
- Conclusion:
 - Dapagliflozin has similar cardiovascular and renal benefits in patients with stage 4 CKD compared to patients with stage 2/3 CKD. Dapagliflozin can be safely used in patients with stage 4 CKD.

Hazard Ratio (95% CI) P-Value Outcome Dapagliflozin Placebo **Primary Endpoint:** eGFR≥50%, ESKD, or Kidney or CV death 0.61 (0.51, 0.72) 197/2152 312/2152 Overall 0.22 • 59/293 87/331 0.73 (0.53, 1.02) Stage 4 CKD • 225/1821 0.58 (0.47, 0.71) 138/1859 Stage 2/3 CKD • CV death or Hospitalization for HF Overall 100/2152 138/2152 0.71 (0.55, 0.92) • 0.63 • Stage 4 CKD 18/293 24/331 0.83 (0.45, 1.53) 114/1821 0.69 (0.52, 0.92) 82/1859 • Stage 2/3 CKD

Table 4. Efficacy and Safety Endpoints for Post Hoc Analysis in CKD Stage 4

Safety Outcomes (CKD Stage 4 only)	Dapagliflozin (n=293)	Placebo (n=331)	P value
Any serious AE	101 (34.5)	138 (41.7)	0.49
Renal AE	43 (14.7)	44 (13.3)	0.13
Volume depletion	14 (4.8)	15 (4.5)	0.39
Major hypoglycemia	2 (0.7)	8 (2.4)	0.37

Figure 11. Mean Change in eGFR Compared between Stage 2/3 CKD and Stage 4 CKD



Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J; EMPEROR-Reduced Trial Investigators. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1413-1424.²⁶

Objective	To determine if empagliflozin reduces CV mortality and heart failure hospitalizations in patients with				
Mothode	heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) regardle	ess of baseline renal function.			
Study Design	Multicenter double-blind randomized placebo-controlled t	rial			
olday besign	Conducted in 520 centers in 20 countries				
Population	 Inclusion Criteria Age ≥ 18 years old Chronic HFrEF (LVEF < 40%, NYHA Class II-IV) If EF ≤40% and hospitalization for HF within 12 months - required NT-proBNP ≥600pg/mL If EF 36% to 40% - required NT-proBNP ≥2500pg/mL If EF 31% to 35% - required NT-proBNP ≥1000pg/mL If EF ≤30% - required NT-proBNP ≥600pg/mL NOTE: Doubled NT-proBNP requirement in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) Body mass index <45kg/m² 	 Exclusion Criteria (Selected) MI, CABG, stroke or TIA within 90 days Cardiomyopathy based on infiltrative disease (amyloidosis) or induced by chemotherapy within 12 months Acute decompensated HF within 1 week of screening AF with resting HR >110bpm SBP > 180mmHg or SBP <100mmHg (with or without symptoms of hypotension) AST/ALT/ALP >3x ULN eGFR<20mL/min/1.73m² or requiring dialysis History of ketoacidosis 			
Intervention	 Intervention (n=1863): Empagliflozin 10mg PO once daily Control (n=1867): Placebo Required to receive standard guideline directed me ACEi/ARB/ARNI and beta blocker unless not tolera Presence or absence of CKD classified as eGFR< 300mg/g 	edical therapy including an ated or contraindicated. 60 or albumin-to-creatinine ratio >			
Outcomes	Primary Outcome:				
	 Composite (HF Hospitalization and Cardiovascular Secondary Outcomes: HF Hospitalizations (first and recurrent) Composite kidney endpoint: chronic dialysis or kidn ≥40% in eGFR or sustained eGFR <15 (for patient <10 (for patients with baseline eGFR < 30). All-cause hospitalization Cardiovascular death 	[.] Death) ney transplant, or sustained reduction of s with baseline ≥ 30), or sustained eGFR			

Statistical Analysis	Determined 841 primary outcome events needed to provide 90% to detect a 20% reduction in the primary outcome Calculated 2850 patients needed to generate at least 841 primary outcome events but was increased to 3600 patients to ensure power was met. Used intention to treat analysis Used Cox proportional-hazards models in post hoc analysis								
Results									
Baseline		No C	KD	CK	D				
Characteristics	Characteristic	Empagliflozin (n=879)	Placebo (n=867)	Empagliflozin (n=981)	Placebo (n=997)				
	Age, mean, yr	63.7±11.2	62.3±11.3	70.4±9.5	70.1±9.8				
	Male, n (%)	204 (23.2)	183 (21.1)	232 (23.6)	273 (27.4)				
	Body mass index, median (IQR), kg/m ²	27.86±5.47	27.64±5.49	28.08±5.44	27.91±5.19				
	eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean	79.0±13.8	79.1±14.0	46.5±15.0	47.4±15.1				
	eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	0	0	893 (91.0)	906 (90.9)				
	UACR, mg/g, median (IQR)	15 (6,44)	16 (6, 43)	36 (11, 194)	36 (11, 160)				
	Ejection Fraction, %	27.4±6.0	26.8±6.0	28.0±5.9	27.5±6.2				
	NYHA Class								
	II	683 (77.7)	671 (77.4)	713 (72.7)	728 (73.0)				
	111	193 (22.0)	192 (22.1)	262 (26.7)	262 (26.3)				
	IV	3 (0.3)	4 (0.5)	6 (0.6)	7 (0.7)				
	Medical History, n (%)								
	I2DM	402 (45.7)	384 (44.3)	523 (53.3)	542 (54.4)				
	Atrial Fibrillation	244 (29.8)	261 (30.1)	420 (42.8)	444 (44.5)				
	Ischemic Cause of HF	433 (49.3)	416 (48.0)	548 (55.9)	528 (53.0)				
	Medications	447 (50.0)		400 (40 0)	005 (00 0)				
		447 (50.9)	440 (50.7)	420 (42.8) 244 (24.0)	395 (39.6)				
		207 (23.3)	194 (22.4)	244 (24.9) 172 (17 5)	201 (20.2)				
	Diuretics	722 (82 1)	732 (84 4)	887 (00 1)	223 (22.0)				
	MRA	648 (73 7)	665 (76 7)	656 (66 9)	687 (68.9)				
	Beta Blocker	834 (94.9)	820 (94.6)	929 (94.7)	946 (94.9)				

Outcomes		CKD		No CKD					
	Outcome	Empagliflozin (n=981)	Placebo (n=997)	Empagliflozin (n=879)	Placebo (n=867)	P value			
	Cardiovascular	219 (22.3)	273 (27.4)	142 (16.2)	187 (21.6)				
	death or HF hospitalization	HR 0.78 (0.65, 0.93)		HR 0.72 (0.58, 0.90)		0.63			
	Cardiovascular	106 (10.8) 121 (12.1) 81 (9.2)		79 (9.1)	- 0.53				
	Death	HR 0.88 (0.68, 1.14)		HR 1.00 (0.74, 1.37)					
	First and Recurrent	245	349	143	203	0.78			
	HF Hospitalization	HR 0.73 (0.57, 0.94)		HR 0.69 (0.51, 0.93)		0.76			
	Ronal Composito	20 (2.0)	38 (3.8)	10 (1.1)	20 (2.3)	0.79			
		HR 0.53 (0.31, 0.91)		HR 0.46 (0.	6 (0.22, 0.99)				
	composite renal outcome. No significant difference found in change of slope between empagliflozin and placebo (p=0.68).								
	Safety C (CKD	En	npagliflozin (n=981)	Place (n=9	ebo 95)				
	Serious ad		462 (47.1)	513 (5	1.6)				
	Acute re	Acute renal failure			123 (12.5) 130 (1				
	Volume	depletion		116 (11.8)	110 (11.1)				
Author's	"The current study den	iypoglycemia	vorable offects	of empagliflozin or	<u>19 (1.9)</u>				
Conclusion	outcome of time-to-first-cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization and the key secondary end points of total HF hospitalizations and eGFR slope, as well as a reduction in serious kidney outcomes in patients with and without CKD and across the spectrum of kidney function, irrespective of degree of kidney injury measured by eGFR or albuminuria."								
Critique	 Strengths Based off large patient population from randomized controlled trial (larger, more severe CKD population than DAPA-HF) Measured albumin-to-creatinine ratio Limitations Post hoc analysis Low event rate in renal outcomes Not powered to assess outcomes across all categories of eGFR and albuminuria 								
Take Home Points	Empagliflozin significa albuminuria. Renal out including clinical outco	ntly reduced HF h comes were also me along with slo	nospitalizations significantly im ope of eGFR	and CVD regardle	ess of eGFR or agliflozin versus	presence of placebo			

Figure 12. Summary of Current Evidence²²⁻²⁶

DAPA-HF (Dapagliflozin)	 Similar reductions in HF hospitalizations and CV death in HF patients with or without CKD. Unable to detect difference in renal outcomes.
DAPA-CKD (Dapagliflozin)	 Similar cardiovascular and renal benefits in CKD patients with or without HF. Included patients with eGFR 25-30ml/min/1.73m² with albuminuria.
EMPEROR- Reduced (Empagliflozin)	 Consistent benefits across all eGFR stages and levels of albuminuria. No excessive risk of AKI in patients with or without CKD.

Conclusion

- Based on consistent benefits and lack of significant adverse events across the spectrum of eGFR, initiation of dapagliflozin and empagliflozin are likely safe and effective below the recommended eGFR cut offs.
 - Expected initial drop in eGFR is most likely due to changing intrarenal hemodynamics, not kidney injury.
- Monitoring¹⁶
 - Before Initiating a SGLT2i
 - Consider temporarily decreasing diuretic dose.
 - Consider decreasing the dose of antihypertensive medications.
 - After Initiating a SGLT2i
 - Assess renal function periodically throughout treatment.
 - Consider withholding treatment if
 - Reduced oral intake (acute illness, fasting)
 - Fluid losses (GI illness or excessive heat exposure)

Table 5. Final Recommendations by eGFR

eGFR	45-59	30-44	25-29	20-24	15-19	<15 or Dialysis			
Dapagliflozin									
Cardiovascular Benefits	DAPA-HF	DAPA-HF	DAPA-CKD	ОК	Unknown	Unknown			
Renal Benefits	DAPA-CKD	DAPA-CKD	DAPA-CKD	ок	Unknown	Unknown			
Empagliflozin									
Cardiovascular	Emperor	Emperor	Emperor	Emperor	ок	Unknown			
Benefits	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced					
Renal Benefits	Emperor Reduced	Emperor Reduced	Emperor Reduced	Emperor Reduced	ОК	Unknown			

Figure 13. Assessing Renal Function After Starting a SGLT-2 Inhibitor^{27,28}



* Based on increased risk of overall AEs and renal related AEs with canagliflozin in T2DM

- 1. House AA, Wanner C, Sarnak MJ, et al; Conference Participants. Heart failure in chronic kidney disease: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. *Kidney Int.* 2019;95(6):1304-1317.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Blood Pressure Work Group. KDIGO 2021 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Blood Pressure in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int.* 2021;99(3S):S1-S87.
- 3. Kottgen A, Russell SD, Loehr LR, et al. Reduced kidney function as a risk factor for incident heart failure: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;18(4):1307-1315.
- 4. Streng KW, Nauta JF, Hillege HL, et al. Non-cardiac comorbidities in heart failure with reduced, midrange and preserved ejection fraction. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;271:132-139.
- 5. United States Renal Data System. 2020 USRDS Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, 2020.
- 6. House AA. Management of Heart Failure in Advancing CKD: Core Curriculum 2018. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2018;72(2):284-295.
- 7. Hillege HL, Nitsch D, Pfeffer MA, et al; Candesartan in Heart Failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) Investigators. Renal function as a predictor of outcome in a broad spectrum of patients with heart failure. *Circulation.* 2006;113(5):671-678.
- 8. Löfman I, Szummer K, Dahlström U, Jernberg T, Lund LH. Associations with and prognostic impact of chronic kidney disease in heart failure with preserved, mid-range, and reduced ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2017;19(12):1606-1614.
- 9. Sud M, Tangri N, Pintilie M, Levey AS, Naimark DM. ESRD and death after heart failure in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2015;26(3):715-722.
- McAlister FA, Ezekowitz J, Tarantini L, et al; Meta-analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure (MAGGIC) Investigators. Renal dysfunction in patients with heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction: impact of the new Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration Group formula. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2012;5(3):309-314.
- 11. United States Renal Data System. 2018 USRDS Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, 2018.
- 12. Writing Committee, Maddox TM, Januzzi JL Jr, Allen LA, et al. 2021 Update to the 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment: Answers to 10 Pivotal Issues About Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77(6):772-810.
- 13. Banerjee D, Rosano G, Herzog CA. Management of Heart Failure Patient with CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2021;16(7):1131-1139.
- 14. Hein AM, Scialla JJ, Edmonston D, Cooper LB, DeVore AD, Mentz RJ. Medical Management of Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction in Patients With Advanced Renal Disease. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2019;7(5):371-382.
- 15. Chao EC. SGLT-2 Inhibitors: A New Mechanism for Glycemic Control. Clin Diabetes. 2014;32(1):4-11.
- 16. Jardiance (empagliflozin) [prescribing information]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc; August 2021.
- McGuire DK, Shih WJ, Cosentino F, Charbonnel B, Cherney DZI, Dagogo-Jack S, Pratley R, Greenberg M, Wang S, Huyck S, Gantz I, Terra SG, Masiukiewicz U, Cannon CP. Association of SGLT2 Inhibitors With Cardiovascular and Kidney Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Metaanalysis. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2021;6(2):148-158.
- 18. Tamargo J. Sodium-glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure: Potential Mechanisms of Action, Adverse Effects and Future Developments. *Eur Cardiol.* 2019;14(1):23-32.
- 19. Leoncini G, Russo E, Bussalino E, Barnini C, Viazzi F, Pontremoli R. SGLT2is and Renal Protection: From Biological Mechanisms to Real-World Clinical Benefits. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(9):4441.

- 20. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, et al; DAPA-HF Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(21):1995-2008.
- 21. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, et al; EMPEROR-Reduced Trial Investigators. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1413-1424.
- Jhund PS, Solomon SD, Docherty KF, et al. Efficacy of Dapagliflozin on Renal Function and Outcomes in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: Results of DAPA-HF. *Circulation.* 2021; 143(4): 298–309.
- 23. Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al; DAPA-CKD Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1436-1446.
- 24. McMurray JJV, Wheeler DC, Stefánsson BV, et al; DAPA-CKD Trial Committees and Investigators. Effects of Dapagliflozin in Patients With Kidney Disease, With and Without Heart Failure. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2021;9(11):807-820.
- 25. Chertow GM, Vart P, Jongs N, et al; DAPA-CKD Trial Committees and Investigators. Effects of Dapagliflozin in Stage 4 Chronic Kidney Disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2021;32(9):2352-2361.
- 26. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J; EMPEROR-Reduced Trial Investigators. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1413-1424.
- 27. Meraz-Munoz AY, Weinstein J, Wald R. eGFR Decline after SGLT2 Inhibitor Initiation: The Tortoise and the Hare Reimagined. *Kidney360.* 2021;2(6):1042-1047.
- 28. Oshima M, Jardine MJ, Agarwal R, et al. Insights from CREDENCE trial indicate an acute drop in estimated glomerular filtration rate during treatment with canagliflozin with implications for clinical practice. *Kidney Int.* 2021;99(4):999-1009.