# Steroids in Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Should the Idea be "Suppressed" or Standard of Care?



http://www.drugs.com/health-guide/pneumonia.html<sup>1</sup>

Emily Brysch, Pharm.D. PGY-1 Pharmacotherapy Resident *Controversies in Clinical Therapeutics* University of the Incarnate Word Feik School of Pharmacy San Antonio, TX January 22, 2016

## Learning Objectives:

- 1. Describe the rationale for steroid use in community-acquired pneumonia (CAP)
- 2. Analyze recent literature regarding steroid use in CAP patients
- 3. Evaluate steroid use in CAP patients based on severity of illness and patient specific factors

#### A. Definitions and Diagnostics:

#### a) Definition of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP):

- i. Acute infection of the pulmonary parenchyma associated with symptoms of an infection accompanied by an acute infiltrate on a chest radiograph or auscultatory findings consistent with pneumonia such as altered breath sounds or localized rales<sup>2</sup>
- ii. Occurs in a non-hospitalized patient or  $\leq$  48 hours of hospitalization.<sup>2</sup>
- iii. Refer to Appendix Table 1 for the definitions of other types of pneumonia.<sup>3</sup>

#### b) Signs and Symptoms<sup>4,5</sup>:

i. Dyspnea, cough, increased sputum production, chest pain, and fever.

#### **B. Epidemiology:**

- a) Prevalence:
  - i. More than 5 million patients develop CAP annually in the United States.<sup>6</sup>
  - ii. More than 10 million visits to physicians and 64 million days of restricted activity in the United States annually.<sup>7</sup>
- b) Hospitalizations<sup>6-8</sup>:
  - i. Annually, in the United States, 1.1 million patients hospitalized for pneumonia
  - ii. Approximately 600,000 patients hospitalized for CAP.

#### c) Mortality:

- i. World-wide, lower respiratory tract infections account for 3.1 million deaths.<sup>9</sup>
- ii. Third largest cause of mortality.<sup>9</sup> (See Figure 1.)
- iii. Despite current treatment, death rates from lower respiratory tract infections have remained stable for past decade.<sup>9,10</sup>
- iv. In the United States, pneumonia and influenza together are the ninth leading cause of death.<sup>11</sup>
- v. Account for approximately 50,000 deaths annually.<sup>11</sup>

Figure 1: Leading Causes of Death, Reported in Millions



#### d) Health-Care Costs:

- i. In the United States, approximately \$10 billion spent on treatment of patients with CAP.
- ii. 92% of these costs are attributed to hospitalizations.<sup>12</sup>

## C. Common Pathogens:<sup>10</sup>

Table 1: Common CAP Pathogens <sup>10</sup>							
Outpatient	Inpatient (Non-ICU)	Inpatient ICU					
S. pneumoniae	S. pneumoniae	S. pneumonia					
M. pneumoniae	M. pneumoniae	H. influenzae					
H. influenzae	H. influenzae	Legionella species					
C. pneumoniae	C. pneumoniae	Gram-negative bacilli					
Respiratory viruses*	Respiratory viruses*	S. aureus					
	Legionella species						
	Aspiration						
* Influenza A and B, adenovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, and parainfluenza.							

#### **D. Current Empiric Treatment:**

Table 2: CAP Empiric Treatment <sup>10</sup>							
Site of Care	Risk Factors/Allergy	Antibiotic Options					
	Healthy/No Recent Antibiotic Use*	macrolide or doxycycline					
Outpatient	Comorbidities <sup>§</sup> /Recent Antibiotic Use <sup>*</sup>	anti-pneumococcal FQ <sup>°</sup> or beta-lactam <sup>*</sup> + macrolide <sup><math>\Delta</math></sup>					
Inpatient (Non-ICU)		anti-pneumococcal FQ or beta-lactam <sup>*</sup> + macrolide <sup><math>\Delta</math></sup>					
		beta-lactam <sup>\$</sup> + azithromycin or anti-pneumococcal FO					
	Penicillin Allergy	aztreonam + anti-pneumococcal FQ					
Inpatient (ICU)	Concern for <i>Pseudomona</i> s	Anti-pseuduomonal beta-lactam <sup>®</sup> + aminoglycoside + azithromycin OR Anti-pseudomonal beta-lactam <sup>®</sup> + ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin OR Anti-pseudomonal beta-lactam <sup>®</sup> + aminoglycoside + ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin					
	Concern for MRSA	ADD vancomycin or linezolid					
* antibiotic use within 3 months § chronic heart, lung, liver or renal disease; anti-pneumococcal fluoroquinolone: moxi	- diabetes mellitus; alcoholism; malignancies; asple floxacin. gemifloxacin or levofloxacin	nia; immunosuppressing conditions or drugs					

‡ preferred beta-lactams: amoxicillin or amoxicillin-clavulanate; alternatives: ceftriaxone, cefpodoxime, cefuroxime

 $\Delta$  doxycycline can be used as an alternative to a macrolide

x preferred beta-lactams: cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and ampicillin

\$ preferred beta-lactams: cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, or ampicillin-sulbactam

% anti-pseudomonal beta-lactam: piperacillin-tazobactam, cefepime, imipenem, or meropenem

#### E. Understanding Glucocorticoids Role in Pneumonia:

#### a) Inflammatory Process in Pneumonia:<sup>13</sup>

- i. Cytokines play an essential role in clearing pathogens, repairing lung tissue, and modulating inflammatory response.
- During early infection, alveolar macrophages produce pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as: tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-a), interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and interferon gamma (IFN-γ).
  - 1. Pro-inflammatory cytokines responsible for recruiting neutrophils to affected lung tissue.
- iii. Neutrophils are then activated and able to phagocytize and kill ingested bacteria by producing particles such as toxic oxygen radicals and bactericidal enzymes.
  - 1. Neutrophils also recruit monocytes, dendritic cells, and T-cells to site of infection.
- iv. Once infection is controlled, anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and IL-4, will function to restore homeostasis, modulate neutrophil apoptosis, and inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokine production.
- v. If this process fails, a cytokine storm results in deleterious inflammation leading to pulmonary endothelial barrier disruption and poor clinical outcomes such as protein rich fluid extravasation, lung edema, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)<sup>14</sup>. (See Figure 2)

Figure 2:

Proposed Mechanism for Cytokine Storm and Poor Clinical Outcomes in CAP Patients with Deleterious Inflammation<sup>13</sup>



#### **B. Inflammatory Response Supporting Literature:**

Table 3: Inflammatory Response Supporting Literature:									
Study	Objective	Design	Population	Results	Take-Away				
Yende et al <sup>15</sup>	To determine if elevated circulatory markers at hospital discharge in CAP patients are associated with poor outcomes	Observational, prospective, multi-center cohort	N=1,799 1,512 (87%) of patients' vital signs returned to normal	IL-6 levels: Survivors vs. non-survivors at 100 days: 6.6pg/mL vs. 12.9 pg/mL; p<0.001 IL-10 levels: Survivors vs. non-survivors at 6 months: 1.1 pg/mL vs. 2 pg/mL; p<0.001	Despite clinical recovery, many patients with CAP have sustained elevations in inflammatory markers that are associated with an increased risk of death.				
Fernandez- Serrano et al <sup>16</sup>	To determine the changes of cytokine concentrations during pneumonia and analyze the relationship between cytokines and etiology, severity, and outcome of infection	Prospective laboratory study	N=38; severe CAP, extensive radiographic consolidations, and respiratory failure	Lower IL-6 values by day 2: non-ICU patients vs. ICU patients; p=0.001 Lower IL-10 values by day 3: non-ICU vs. ICU patients; p=0.003 Higher TNF-α, IL-8, IL-10 on day 1 for ventilated vs. non-ventilated; p=0.05, p=0.04, p=0.04, respectively	Mechanical ventilation and severity of illness were associated with higher levels of cytokines compared to non-ventilated and lower severity of illness				

# C. Glucocorticoid Rationale: 13,17-18

- **a)** Glucocorticoid mechanism of action: inhibit various cytokines including the cytokines involved in pneumonia such as: IL-1, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, INF-γ, and TNF-α.
- **b)** Cost: inexpensive
- c) Therapeutic drug monitoring: not necessary
- d) Corticosteroids mimic the body's natural stress response.

#### **D.** Controversy

- a) Clinical Questions:
  - i. What are the benefits of steroid use in CAP?
  - ii. What population, if any, benefits the most from steroid use in CAP?
  - iii. What are the risks associated with the use of steroids in CAP?

## **Observational Studies:**

	Table 4: Observational Studies								
Study	Design	Population	Results	Take-Away					
Monton et al <sup>19</sup>	Pilot study	N=20; mechanically ventilated pneumonia;	Higher serum IL-6 in non-steroid group vs. steroid group; p=0.03	Steroids decreased systemic and lung inflammatory responses in mechanically					
		ICU Higher serum C-reactive protein non-steroid group vs. steroid group: p=0.04		ventilated patients w/ severe pneumonia receiving antibiotics.					
			Higher bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid TNF-α: non-steroid group vs. steroid group; p=0.05						
			Higher BAL fluid neutrophil count non-steroid group vs. steroid group; p=0.03						
Garcia-Vidal et al <sup>20</sup>	Retrospective, observational	N=308; severe CAP Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) classes IV/ V (See Appendix Table 2) <sup>21</sup>	Steroid group: decreased mortality: odds ratio: 0.287; 95% CI: 0.113-0.732	Mortality decreased in severe CAP patients receiving antibiotics and steroids.					
Tagami et al <sup>22</sup>	Multi-center, observational study	N=6925; severe CAP; mechanically ventilated	28 day mortality in septic shock patients: steroids vs. no steroids: 25.3% vs. 32.6%; p=0.01	Low-dose corticosteroid use may be associated with decreased 28-day mortality in patients w/ septic shock					
			28 day mortality in non-shock pts: steroids vs. no steroids: 17.7% vs. 15.6%;p=0.22	complicating CAP.					
Polverino et al <sup>23</sup>	Observational	N=3257 CAP patients	Mortality: 6% vs. 7%;p=0.46	Steroids did not influence mortality or					
			Length of hospital stay: steroid vs. no steroid: 9 vs. 6 days; p<0.01	clinical stability. Steroid administration was associated with prolonged length of					
			Clinical stability: 4 vs 5 days; p=0.11	stay.					

## Recent Randomized, Controlled Trials:

	24						
Table 5: 2015 Torres	, et al. ida an Tracturent Failure Amana II.a	staling d Dation to with Course Co.					
Inflammatory Bospoy	has on Treatment Failure Among Hos	pitalized Patients with Severe Col	nmunity-Acquired Pheumonia and High				
Objective	To access the offect of corticestorei	de in patients with sovere CAD and	high according to a line for management				
Objective	To assess the effect of controsteroid	as in patients with severe CAP and	high associated innaminatory response.				
Design	Multi-center at 3 Spanish institutions, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled. Patients were recruited and followed from June 2004 – February 2012.						
Inclusion	<ul> <li>Age ≥ 18</li> <li>Clinical signs suggesting CAP (cough, fever, pleuritic chest pain or dyspnea)</li> <li>New radiographic infiltrate</li> <li>Severe CAP criteria: modified American Thoracic Society (ATS)<sup>25</sup>, [See Appendix Table 3] or PSI class V</li> <li>C-reactive protein &gt;15mg/dL on admission</li> </ul>						
Exclusion	<ul> <li>Prior treatment with system</li> <li>Nosocomial pneumonia</li> <li>Severe immunosuppression</li> <li>Major GI bleed within 3 mc</li> <li>Uncontrolled diabetes mell</li> <li>Condition requiring acute t</li> <li>Pandemic H1N1 influenza</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prior treatment with systemic corticosteroids (chronic or on admission)</li> <li>Nosocomial pneumonia</li> <li>Severe immunosuppression (HIV/immunosuppressive conditions/medications)</li> <li>Major GI bleed within 3 months of hospitalization</li> <li>Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus</li> <li>Condition requiring acute treatment &gt;1mg/kg/day of methylprednisolone or its equivalent</li> <li>Bandomic H1N1 influenza</li> </ul>					
Outcomes	Primary Secondary						
	Early Treatment Failure:• Clinical deteriorationLate Treatment Failure:• Radiographic progrime• Persistence of respination• Development of shipmechanical ventila• baseline• Death between 72-• initiation	ession <sup>b</sup> iratory failure <sup>c</sup> ock or need for invasive tion not present at -120h after treatment	Time to clinical stability Length of ICU and hospital stay In-hospital mortality				
Intervention	Interventions	started within 36 hours of hospit	al admission				
	Methylprednisolone IV 0.5mg	kg every 12 hours x 5 days	Placebo x 5 days				
Statistics	<ul> <li>60 patients needed in each treatment failure in the pla</li> <li>80% power, 5% significance</li> <li>Chi-square test or Fisher's of Continuous variables comp</li> <li>Logistic regression models secondary outcomes assess</li> <li>Interim analysis at 50% pat</li> <li>Intention to treat and per p</li> <li>Goodness of fit models weight</li> </ul>	60 patients needed in each group to detect a 20% reduction in treatment failure (assuming 35% treatment failure in the placebo group) 80% power, 5% significance Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test used to compare categorical variables Continuous variables compared with Student's t-test or the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U-test Logistic regression models used to examine differences in primary outcome and in-hospital mortality, secondary outcomes assessed with Cox proportional hazard regression models Interim analysis at 50% patient accrual (p<0.03, alpha 0.05 [Pocock Test]) Intention to treat and per protocol analyses Goodness of fit models were tested using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test					
Baseline		Methylprednisolone (n=61)	Placebo (n=59)				
Characteristics	Age, mean (SD),years	64.5 (19.1)	66.1 (20.1)				
	PSI Class I-III	18 (30%)	14 (24%)				
	PSI Class IV <sup>+</sup>	21 (34%)	26 (44%)				
	PSI Class V <sup>+</sup>	22 (36%)	19 (32%)				

	ICI Admission			43 (70%)	47 (80%)			
	Procalcitonin.ng/	dL⁺	1	1.3 (0.4-4.4)	3.	3.1 (0.8-9.5)		
	II_10 ng/dl <sup>+</sup>		/	17(28-92)	8 1	(4.0-13.5)		
	Septic Shock <sup>+</sup>			10 (17%)	0.1	18 (31%) 17 (29%)		
	SBP <90 mmHg	+		11 (18%)				
	Pleural Effusion	1		11 (18%)	:	12 (20%)		
	Congestive Heart Fa	ilure		22 (36%)		24 (41%)		
	Macrolide Concentr	ation		15 (24%)		L3 (23%)		
	Mechanical Ventila	tion		5 (8%)		LO (17%)		
	Chronic Pulmonary D	isease		7 (11%)		12 (20%)		
	Diabetes Mellitu	IS		10 (16%)		13 (22%)		
	+ difference between gr	oups= p val	lue < 0.05		-			
Results		Methylpr (n:	ednisolone =61)	Placebo (n=59)	% Difference 95% Cl	P Value	NNT	
			Pri	mary Outcomes				
	Composite Treatment Failure (%)	8	(13)	18 (31)	18 (3-32)	0.2		
	Early Treatment Failure (0-72 hrs) (%)	6	(10)	6 (1)	0 (-10 to 11)	0.95		
	Late Treatment Failure (72-120 hrs) (%)	2 (3)		15 (25)	0.02-0.46	0.001	5	
	Radiographic Progression (%)	1	(2)	<sup>(2)</sup> 9 (15)		0.007	8	
	Late Septic Shock (%)		0	4 (7)	7 (0 to 13)	0.06		
		Secondary Outcomes						
	In-Hospital Mortality (%)	6	(10)	9 (15)	5 (-6 to 17)	0.37		
	Time to Clinical Stability (IQR), days	4 (3	to 6)	5 (3 to 7)	1 (-0.4 to 2.4)	0.28		
			Safety Outcomes					
	Hyperglycemia (%)	11	(18)	7 (12)		0.34		
Author's Conclusions	Among patients with seve compared with placebo de corticosteroids as adjunct	re CAP and ecreased tr ive treatme	l high initial i eatment fail ent in this cli	nflammatory respons ure. If replicated, the nical population.	e, the acute use o se findings would s	f methylpre support the	ednisolone use of	
Strengths	<ul> <li>Multi-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled</li> <li>Selected a homogenous population</li> <li>Antibiotic treatment was chosen based on the 2007 IDSA Guidelines; medications were reported</li> <li>Microbiological etiologies of pneumonia were reported</li> <li>Appropriate exclusion criteria</li> </ul>							
Weaknesses	<ul> <li>Outdated ATS cri</li> <li>49% of patients e small population</li> <li>Composite outco</li> <li>Larger studies are</li> <li>Fluid given to pation</li> <li>Could afind</li> </ul>	teria for se enrolled dic of CAP pat me driven e needed to tients was r fect appro	vere pneumo I not meet in ients by radiograp o show that I not clarified; priate sepsis	onia clusion criteria; enrol hic progression; not r less radiographic prog specifically in septic s treatment and radiog	Iment took 8 year formally a parame ression is linked to hock patients graphs	s; only repr ter measur o lower mo	esents a ed in trials rtality rates	

	<ul> <li>Unbalanced baseline characteristics: more septic shock, IL-10, mechanically ventilated, levofloxacin monotherapy, and CHF patients in placebo group</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Higher percentage of placebo shock patients received first dose of antibiotics after 4 hours (39% vs. 20%)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Low percentages of patients received macrolide antibiotics despite proven immunomodulatory effects (23% vs 24%)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Decision to switch IV→PO and discharge from ICU was left to the discretion of the medical team; no set rules</li> </ul>
	Short term follow up
	No assessment of adrenal insufficiency at baseline
	<ul> <li>Did not find statistical power (predetermined treatment failure of 35%)</li> </ul>
Take Away	<ul> <li>The inclusion criteria of C-reactive protein &gt;15mg/dL is only representative of a small portion of CAP natients</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Late treatment failure driven by radiographic progression: could be influenced by other parameters</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>No statistically significant difference in hyperglycemia events between the groups</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Difficult to apply to practice due to possible influences on radiographic progression other than steroids</li> </ul>
Footnotes	a. need for invasive mechanical ventilation and/or shock not present at baseline or death
	b. increase >50% of pulmonary infiltrates compared to baseline
	c. $PaO_2/FiO_2 < 200$ with respiratory rate >30 min <sup>-1</sup> in non-intubated patients

Table 6: 2015 Blun Adjunct Prednison Placebo-Controlled	n, et al. <sup>26</sup> Ie Therapy for Patients with Community Acquired Pneumonia: A Multicentre, Double-Blind, Randomised, d Trial
Objective	To assess whether short-term corticosteroid treatment reduces time to clinical stability in patients admitted to hospital for community-acquired pneumonia.
Design	Multi-center at 7 Swiss institutions, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled. Patients were assessed from December 2009 – May 2014.
Inclusion	<ul> <li>≥ 18 years old</li> <li>Hospital admission with CAP         <ul> <li>New infiltrate on chest radiograph + ≥ 1 of the following:</li> <li>Signs and symptoms<sup>a</sup></li> <li>Core body temperature ≥38·0°C</li> <li>Auscultatory findings of abnormal breathing sounds or rales</li> <li>Leucocyte count &gt; 10,000 cells/µL or &lt; 4,000 cells/µL</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Exclusion	<ul> <li>Permanent inability for informed consent</li> <li>Active IV drug use</li> <li>Acute burn injury</li> <li>GI bleeding in the past 3 months</li> <li>Known adrenal insufficiency</li> <li>Condition requiring &gt;0.5 mg/kg/day of prednisone equivalent</li> <li>Pregnancy or breastfeeding</li> <li>Severe immunosuppression<sup>b</sup></li> </ul>

Outcomes	Primary				Secondary				
Outcomes	Primary• Time to clinical stability• Days until stable vital signs for $\geq 24$ hrs• Temperature $\leq 37.8^{\circ}C$ • Heart rate $\leq 100$ bpm• Respiratory rate $\leq 24$ • SBP $\geq 90$ mmHg w/o vasopressors or $\geq 100$ mmHg for patients with hypertension• Baseline mental status• Able to tolerate PO• PaO <sub>2</sub> $\geq 60$ mmHg or pulse oximetry $\geq 90\%$			ors ith	<ul> <li>Secondary</li> <li>Time to effective discharge</li> <li>Recurrence of pneumonia</li> <li>Re-admission to hospital</li> <li>ICU admission</li> <li>All-cause mortality</li> <li>Duration of total and IV antibiotic treatment</li> <li>Disease activity score specific to CAP (CAP SCORE) [See Appendix Figure 1]<sup>27</sup></li> <li>Complications of CAP<sup>c</sup></li> <li>Side effects of corticosteroids<sup>d</sup></li> <li>Time to earliest possible hospital discharge</li> <li>ICU patients</li> <li>Length of ICU stay</li> <li>Time to transfer to ICU</li> </ul>			nent	
					<ul> <li>Time to discharge from ICU</li> <li>Duration of vasopressors</li> <li>Duration of mechanical ventilation</li> </ul>				
Intervention	Considered not stable if ≥1 criteria were not met						Placebo	x 7 days	
Statistics	Sample size of 800 patients needed for a power of 85% for estimated decrease in the risk of non-stability after 1 week in survivors by 25% with the use of steroids; intention to treat; Cox proportional hazards regression used to calculate an upadiusted bazard ratio. 95% confidence interval								
			Pi	rednise	one (n=39	ne (n=392) Placebo (n=393)			5)
Baseline	Age (years)			74	4 (61-83) 73 (61-82)				
Characteristics	PSI Class I			47	(12%)			45 (11%)	
	PSI Class II PSI Class III			72	(18%)			69 (18%) 05 (24%)	
	PSI Class III DSI Class IV			1/1	(10%)		1	27 (24%)	
	PSI Class IV PSI Class V			54	(14%)		-	.32 (34 <i>%</i> ) 52 (13%)	
	CAP SCORF, points			43	46 (29-63)				
	C-reactive protein (mg/d	IL)		15.9 (8	.9 (8.03-24.5) 16.4 (7.91-25.0)			)	
	COPD	,		73	73 (19%) 60 (15%)			/	
	Diabetes mellitus			77	(20%)			78 (20%)	
	Temperature (°C)			37.6	(37-38.2)		37.	6 (37-38.2)	
	Systolic Blood Pressure (mr	nHg)		124 (	119-140)		123	3 (110-140)	
	Heart Rate (beats/min)	)		84	(74-95)		8	2 (72-96)	
	Respiratory Rate (breaths/min) 20				(18-24)		2	0 (18-24)	
	SaO <sub>2</sub> (%) 95			95	(92-96)		9	4 (92-97)	
Desults	Confusion 2			22	2 (0%)			29 (7%)	
Results		Pred (n=	nisone :392)	Pl: (n	acebo =393)	HR oi	r OR (95% CI)	P value	NNH
	Time to Clinical Stability (days)	3.0 (2	2.5-3.4)	4.4 (	4.0-5.0)	HR 1.	33(1.15-1.50)	<0.0001	
	Time to Effective Discharge (days)	6.0 (6	5.0-7.0)	7.0 (	7.0-8.0)         HR 1.19(1.04-1.38)         0.012				

Steroids in Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Should the Idea be "Suppressed" or Standard of Care?

	Recurrent Pneumonia	23 (6%)	18 (5%)	OR 1.30 (0.69-2.44)	0.42		
	Death from Any Cause	16 (4%)	13 (3%)	OR 1.24 (0.59-2.62)	0.57		
	ICU Admission	16 (4%)	22 (6%)	OR 0.72 (0.37-1.39)	0.42		
	IV Antibiotic Treatment (days)	4.0 (3.0-6.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	Difference –0·89 days (–1·57 to –0·20) days	0.011		
	Hyperglycemia Requiring	76 (19%)	43 (11%)	OR 1.97 (1.31-2.93)	0.0010	13	
	Insulin						
Author's Conclusions	Prednisone treatment for 7 day time to clinical stability without and an important determinant	s in patients with an increase in co of hospital costs	n community-act omplications. Th and efficiency.	quired pneumonia admitt is finding is relevant from	ed to hospi a patient p	ital shortens perspective	
Strengths	<ul> <li>Intention to treat</li> <li>Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multi-center</li> <li>Large sample size</li> <li>Procalcitonin was used to guide treatment duration</li> <li>Funding source had no role in the study</li> <li>Baseline characteristics balanced between groups</li> <li>Used a PO dosage form</li> <li>Longer term follow-up in comparison to the majority of other studies</li> <li>Excluded patients with adrenal insufficiency</li> <li>Listed the microbiological etiologies of pneumonia</li> <li>Majority of national received a macrolide</li> </ul>						
Weaknesses	<ul> <li>Not powered for mortality</li> <li>Subjective primary outcome</li> <li>Composite end-point; steroids can favorably affect systolic blood pressure (SBP) and fever</li> <li>Heterogeneous population</li> <li>Despite ~50% of patients following into PSI IV/V, clinical signs were near normal</li> <li>Fever reduction affects IV → PO conversion</li> <li>Insulin treatment may have led to un-blinding</li> </ul>						
Take Away	<ul> <li>According to the findings, steroids decreased time to clinical stability by ~1.5 days and time to discharge by 1 day</li> <li>Primary outcome is subjective and could have been influenced by steroids' ability to affect fever and SBP</li> <li>Steroids led to insulin-required hyperglycemia in 19% vs. 11% of patients (p=0.0010)</li> <li>Population in study was not severely ill; cannot apply findings to severely ill patients</li> </ul>						
Footnotes	<ul> <li>a. cough, sputum production, d</li> <li>b. HIV and a CD4 cell count &lt; 35</li> <li>neutropenia &lt; 500 cells/μL or n</li> <li>decrease to values &lt; 500 cells/μ</li> <li>c. acute respiratory distress syn</li> <li>d. hyperglycemia, hypertension</li> </ul>	yspnea 50 cells/µL, immu eutrophils of 500 1L, cystic fibrosis, drome, empyem , delirium, nosoc	nosuppressive t –1000 cells/μL or active tubero a, persistence o omial infections	herapy after solid organ t during ongoing chemothe culosis f pneumonia 5, weight gain	ransplanta rapy with a	tion, in expected	

## Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review:

Table 7: 2015 Siemie	eniuk, et al. pov for Patients Hos	nitalized With Cor	nmunity_/	couired Pneumo	nia: A Systematic Review	v and Mota-Analysis			
Objective	To examine the ef	fect of adjunctive of	corticoster	oid therapy on m	ontality morbidity and d	uration of			
Objective	hospitalization in patients with CAP.								
Design	Systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 studies								
Inclusion	<ul> <li>CAP studies randomized to oral or IV corticosteroid therapy vs. placebo or no treatment         <ul> <li>Reported on at least 1 of the following outcomes:</li> <li>Duration of hospitalization</li> <li>Time to clinical stability</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>Need for mechanical ventilation</li> <li>Need for ICU admission</li> <li>Development of ARDS</li> </ul>								
Exclusion	<ul> <li>Studies o limited to</li> </ul>	f ventilator associa patients with chro	ted pneun onic obstru	nonia, aspiration active pulmonary	pneumonia, <i>Pneumocysti</i> disease	<i>s jirovecii,</i> or those			
Outcomes	<ul> <li>All-cause mortality</li> <li>Mechanical ventilation</li> <li>ICU admission</li> <li>ARDS</li> <li>Duration of hospitalization</li> <li>Time to clinical stability</li> <li>Advance effects</li> </ul>								
Population	Study	Patients,n	Mean Age	Follow-Up (days)	Inclusion	Intervention			
	Blum, 2015	784	74	30	Age≥18, ATS criteria for CAP	Prednisone 50mg PO daily x 7 days			
	Confalonieri, 2005	46	63.5	60	CAP w/ 1993 ATS severe criteria	Hydrocortisone 200mg IV bolus; 10mg/hr x 10 days			
	El-Ghamawy, 2006	34	61.8	In-hospital	Age≥18, ATS criteria for severe CAP requiring ICU admission	Hydrocortisone 200mg IV bolus; 10mg/hr x 7 days			
	Fernandez- Serrano, 2011	45	63.5	1 month	Age≥18 and ≤75, severe CAP w/ consolidation of ≥2 lobes and PO₂/FiO₂<300	Methylprednisolone 200mg IV bolus; tapering infusion (3.3 to 0.8 IV/h) over 9 days			
	Marik, 1993	30	36.2	ICU discharge	Age≥18 and ≤70, BTS criteria for severe	Hydrocortisone 10mg/kg IV 30 min before antibiotics			
	McHardy, 1972	126	60.3	In-hospital	Age ≥12, clinical diagnosis of pneumonia	Prednisolone 5 mg Q 6 hrs PO x 7 days			
	Meijvis, 2011	304	63.9	30	Age≥18, CAP by PSI criteria	Dexamethasone 5 mg IV daily x 4 days			
	Mikami, 2007	31	72	In-hospital	Any CAP, non-severe	Prednisolone 40 mg			

					by ATS	IV x 3 days		
	Nafae, 2013	80	49	In-hospital	Age≥18, CAP by PSI criteria	Hydrocortisone 200mg IV bolus; 10mg/hr x 7 days		
	Sabry, 2011	80	62.2	8 days	Adults with severe CAP by ATS	Hydrocortisone 200mg IV bolus; 12.5mg/hr x 7 days		
	Snijders, 2010	213	63.5	30 days	Age≥18 hospitalized with CAP	Prednisolone 40 mg IV/PO x 7 days		
	Torres, 2015	120	65.3	65.3 In-hospital Age ≥18 with severe CAP by ATS or PSI criteira and CRP >150mg/I		Methylprednisolone 0.5mg/kg IV BID x 5 days		
	Wagner, 1956	113	52% <40	In-hospital	Culture confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia	Hydrocortisone PO Q 6 hrs; 80-100mg tapering over 5 days		
Methods	<ul> <li>MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Contrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, searched until May 24, 2015</li> <li>GRADE system to assess the certainty of evidence</li> <li>Publication bias was assessed through the funnel plot method</li> <li>A modified Cochrane instrument was used to assess risk of bias of primary studies</li> <li>Used an α of 0.05 and a β of 0.08 to measure optimal size calculations</li> <li>Mantel-Haenszel risk ratios for dichotomous outcomes and mean differences for continuous variables</li> <li>I<sup>2</sup> was used to test for heterogeneity</li> </ul>							
Results		tcome			Risk Difference	Certainty		
	Mortality n=1974:12 studies		0.67	7 (0.45 – 1.01)	2.8%	Moderate		
	Need for Mech n=1060	Need for Mechanical Ventilation n=1060;5 studies		6 (0.26 – 0.79)	5.0%	Moderate		
	<b>ICU A</b> N=950	<b>dmission</b> ;3 studies	0.69	9 (0.46 – 1.03)	4.2%	Moderate		
	<b>4</b> N=945	<b>RDS</b> ;4 studies	0.24	k (0.10 – 0.56)	6.2%	Moderate		
	Hypei N=1534	<b>glycemia</b> 4;6 studies	1.49	9 (1.01 – 2.19)	3.5%	High		
	Ou	tcome		Mean Diffe	erence 95% Cl	Certainty		
	Time to Cl N=118	i <b>nical Stability</b> D;5 studies		-1.22 ([-2.	08] – [-0.35])	High		
	Duration of N=149	Hospitalization 9;6 studies		-1.00 ([-1.79] – [-0.21]) High				
Author's Conclusions	For hospitalized ad need for mechanic approximately 1 d	dults with CAP, syst cal ventilation by a ay.	temic corti pproximat	costeroid therap ely 5%, ARDS by	y may reduce mortality by approximately 6%, and ho	approximately 3%, spital stay by		
Strengths	<ul> <li>Only included randomized controlled trials</li> <li>Appropriately defined severe pneumonia</li> <li>Included studies from various countries</li> <li>Assessed for bias using the GRADE system</li> <li>Performed subgroup and sensitivity analyses</li> </ul>							

	Specific and appropriate eligibility requirements				
Weaknesses	<ul> <li>Heterogeneous severity of illnesses, steroid medications, doses, routes of administrations, durations of treatments</li> <li>Trials excluded patients at highest risk of adverse events</li> <li>Mortality outcome patient number was less than calculated optimal information size (n=3500) to detect relative reduction of 35%</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Difficult to draw conclusions for duration of hospitalization due to high degree of heterogeneity</li> </ul>				
Take Away	Analyzed appropriate randomized controlled trials				
	<ul> <li>ARDS and need for mechanical ventilation results are reported based on a small number of events in a limited number of studies: serious limitation</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Clinical stability and duration of hospitalization are the only outcomes with high certainty; moderate/high heterogeneity, respectively</li> </ul>				

#### A. Mortality Benefits Based on Severity of Illness:

Figure 3: All-Cause Mortality By Severity of Illness<sup>28</sup>



#### a) Subgroup Analysis from Simumek et al.<sup>28</sup>

- i. The number of patients included in the mortality outcome was smaller than the calculated optimal information size (n=3500) to detect relative reduction of 35%.
- ii. The outcome of mortality was primarily driven by Confalonieri et al.
  - 1. Baseline characteristics were unbalanced.
    - Lower C-reactive protein and PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> in placebo group
  - 2. Small sample size.
  - 3. High risk of publication bias.
  - 4. Study stopped early.
- b) Mortality Based on Severity of Illness:
  - i. Corticosteroids are more likely to have a mortality benefit in severe CAP patients but this is not with high certainty.

## Assessing Hyperglycemia Risks:

Figure 4: Hyperglycemia Rates Based on Steroids



- b) Hyperglycemia is an adverse effect with corticosteroid use and can be appropriately treated with insulin.
- c) Retrospective studies have demonstrated that hyperglycemia increases the risk of mortality; however, no long term adverse effects from hyperglycemia were observed in the presented studies and meta-analysis<sup>28,33,34</sup>

## **Ongoing Studies Evaluating Steroids in CAP:**

Table 8: Ongoing Studies in CAP							
Study	Design	Primary Outcome	Population	Intervention	Follow-Up		
ADRENAL <sup>35</sup>	Randomized, Placebo Controlled, Double-blind, Multi-Center	All-cause mortality at 90 days	N=3800 ICU patients with septic shock	Hydrocortisone 200mg IV continuous infusion/24 hours vs. placebo x 7 days	90 days		
ESCAPe <sup>36</sup>	Randomized, Double-blind, Multi-Center	All-cause mortality at 60 days	N=1450 hospitalized patients with severe CAP based on ATS criteria	Methylprednisolone IV bolus; 40mg/day x 7 days; 20mg/day x 7 days; 12mg/day x 3 days; 4mg/day x 3 days vs. placebo	180 days		

## **Summary and Conclusions:**

A. Use of corticosteroids in CAP has been associated with decreased time to clinical stability and duration of hospitalization; however, these outcomes are subjective and left to the discretion of the physician. Time to clinical stability may be influenced by steroids effect on vital signs such as blood pressure and temperature.

B. Steroids cannot currently be suggested for mortality benefit in CAP patients. Current literature suggests a higher benefit for severe CAP patients; however, this result is driven by weak evidence.

C. The main adverse effect observed in randomized controlled trials was hyperglycemia. According to the metaanalysis, corticosteroids increase the incidence of insulin-required hyperglycemia and no long-term effects were observed. Current literature is limited by short-term follow-up.

D. If a clinician chooses to use corticosteroids for CAP patients, there is no consensus on which medication, dose, route, or frequency is preferred. Also, patients that are immunosuppressed, pregnant, at high risk for neuropsychiatric events, and had a recent gastrointestinal hemorrhage were commonly excluded in trials; therefore, use in this population has not been studied.

E. The idea of corticosteroids should not be "suppressed." The benefits of corticosteroids are still debatable due to small sample sizes of trials, risks of bias, and short term follow-up. The results of large, well-designed, randomized-controlled trials with long term follow-up such as ESCAPe and ADRENAL are needed to confirm benefits and rule out long term risks of corticosteroids.

#### **References:**

- Harvard Health Publications. Pneumonia. Drugs.com. http://www.drugs.com/health-guide/pneumonia.html. Updated December 1, 2015. Accessed December 11, 2015.
- Shams WE. Community-Acquired Pneumonia. In: Myers JW, Moorman JP, Salgado CD, eds. Gant'z Manual of Clinical Problems in Infectious Disease. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013:568.
- 3. American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Hospital-Acquired, Ventilator Associated, and Health-Care Associated Pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005; 171 (4): 388-416.
- 4. Wunderink RG, Waterer GW. Community Acquired Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2014; 370: 543-551.
- 5. Prina E, Ranzani OT, Torres A. Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Lancet. 2015;386.1097-108.
- 6. Restrepo MI, Mortensen EM, Velez JA, et al. A Comparative Study of Community-Acquired Pneumonia Patients Admitted to the Ward and the ICU. Chest 2008; 133(3):610–617.
- 7. Mandell LA. Epidemiology and Etiology of Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2004;18(4):761-76.
- 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pneumonia. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/pneumonia.htm. Updated July 17, 2015. Accessed December 1, 2015.
- 9. World Health Organization. The Top Ten Causes of Death. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/. Updated May 2014. Accessed November 12, 2015.
- 10. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, et al. Infectious Disease Society of America/American Society Consensus Guidelines on the Management of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Adults. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44( Suppl 2):S27-72.
- 11. Murphy SL, Xu J, Kochanek KD. Deaths: Preliminary Data for 2010. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2012;60:1-51.
- 12. De Graeve D, Beutels P. Economic Aspects of Pneumococcal Pneumonia. Pharmacoeconomics. 2004;22 (11):719-740.
- 13. Bordon J, Aliberti S, Fernandez-Botran R, et al. Understanding the Role of Cytokines and Neutrophil Activity and Neutrophil Apoptosis in the Protective Versus Deleterious Inflammatory Response in Pneumonia. Int J Infect Dis. 2013;17(2):e76-83.
- 14. Muller-Redetzky H, Lienau J, Suttorp N, et al. Therapeutic Strategies in Pneumonia: Going Beyond Antibiotics. Eur Respir Rev. 2015;24(137):516-24.
- 15. Yende S, D' Angelo, Kellum JA, et al. Inflammatory Markers at Hospital Discharge Predict Subsequent Mortality after Pneumonia and Sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008; 177:1242-1247.
- 16. Fernandez-Sorrano S, Dorca J, Coromines M, et al. Molecular Inflammatory Responses Measured in Blood of Patients with Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2003;10(5):813-20.
- 17. Almawi WY, Beyhum HN, Rahme AA, et al. Regulation of Cytokine and Cytokine Receptor Expression By Glucocorticoids. J Leukoc Biol. 1996;60(5):563-72.
- 18. Wunderink RG. Corticosteroids for Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Not for Everyone. JAMA. 2015.17;313(7):673-4.
- 19. Monton C, Ewig S, Torres A, et al. Role of Glucocorticoids on Inflammatory Response in Non-immunosuppressed Patients with Pneumonia: A Pilot Study. Eur Respir J. 1999; 14: 218-220.
- 20. Garcia-Vidal C, Calbo E, Pascual V, et al. Effects of Systemic Steroids with Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Eur Respir J.2007; 30: 951–956.
- Fine MJ, Auble Te, Yealy DM, et al. A Prediction Rule to Identify Low-Risk Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 1997 Jan 23;336(4):243-50.
- 22. Tagami T, Matsui H, Horiguchi H, et al. Low-Dose Corticosteroid Use and Mortality in Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia Patients. Eur Respir J. 2015;45(2):463-72.
- 23. Polverino E, Cilloniz C, Dambrava P, et al. Systemic Corticosteroids for Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Reasons for Use and Lack of Benefit on Outcome. Respirology. 2013;18(2):263-71.
- 24. Torres A, Sibila O, Ferrer M, et al. Effect of Corticosteroids on Treatment Failure Among Hospitalized Patients with Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia and High Inflammatory Response: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015;313(7):677-686.
- 25. Ewig S, Ruiz M, Mensa J, et al. Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Assessment of Severity Criteria. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;158:1102–1108.
- 26. Blum CA, Nigro N, Briel M, et al. Adjunct Prednisone Therapy for Patients with Community Acquired Pneumonia: A Multicentre, Double-Blind, Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Lancet. 2015;385(9977):1511-8.
- 27. Moussaoui RE, Opmeer BC, Bossuyt PMM, et al. Development and Validation of a Short Questionnaire in Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Thorax 2004;59:591–595.
- 28. Siemieniuk RA, Meade MO, Alonso-Coello P, et al. Corticosteroid Therapy for Patients Hospitalized With Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2015;163(7):519-28.
- 29. Nafae RM, Ragab MI, Amany FM, et al. Adjuvant Role of Corticosteroids in the Treatment of Community Acquired Pneumonia. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc. 2013; 62: 439–445.
- 30. Fernandez-Serrano, Dorca J, Garcia-Vidal C, et al. Effect of Corticosteroids on the Clinical Course of Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Crit Care. 2011;15(2):R96.

Steroids in Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Should the Idea be "Suppressed" or Standard of Care?

- 31. Meijvis SC, Hardeman H, Remmelts HH, et al. Dexamethasone and Length of Hospital Stay in Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Lancet. 2011;377(9782):2023-30.
- 32. Snijders D, Daniels JM, de Graaff CS, et al. Efficacy of Corticosteroids in Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;181(9):975-82.
- 33. Hirata Y, Tomioka H, Sekiya R, et al. Association of Hyperglycemia on Admission and During Hospitalization with Mortality in Diabetic Patients Admitted for Pneumonia. Intern Med. 2013;52(21):2431-8.
- 34. Krinsley JS. Association Between Hyperglycemia and Increased Hospital Mortality in a Heterogeneous Population of Critically III Patients. Mayo Clin Proc. 2003;78(12):1471-8.
- The George Institute. A Randomized Blinded Placebo Controlled Trial of Hydrocortisone in Critically III Patients With Septic Shock. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 December 20]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01448109.NLM Identifier: NCT01448109.
- VA Office of Research and Development. CSP #574 Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Methylprednisolone in Hospitalized Veterans With Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US).
   2000- [cited 2015 December 20]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01283009?show\_desc=Y#desc. NLM Identifier: NCT01283009.
- National Adrenal Diseases Foundation. Quick Reference for the Most Common Symptoms of Adrenal Hormone Replacement Excess and Deficiency. http://www.nadf.us/tools-for-life/adrenal-hormone-replacements/. Updated July 12, 2014. Accessed December 9, 2015.

# Appendix

Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia (HAP)	Health-Care Associated Pneumonia (HCAP)	Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP)
Occurs ≥ 48 hour of hospital	Hospitalization $\geq 2$ days within 90 days of infection	Occurs ≥ 48 hour after
admission	Resided in nursing home or long-term care facility	endotracheal intubation
	IV antibiotic therapy in the past 30 days of current infection	
	Chemotherapy in the past 30 days of current infection	
	Wound care within the past 30 days of current infection	
	Attended a hospital or hemodialysis clinic	

Table 2: Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) <sup>21</sup>					
Risk Factor	Points	Risk Class	Mortality Risk		
Men	Age				
Women	Age Minus 10				
Nursing Home Resident	+10				
Neoplasm	+30				
Liver Disease	+20				
Heart Failure	+10				
Stroke	+10				
Renal Failure	+10				
Altered Mental Status	+20				
Respiratory Rate ≥30	+20				
Systolic Blood Pressure <90 mmHg	+20				
Temperature < 95°F or ≥104°F	+15				
Pulse ≥125 bpm	+10				
Arterial pH <7.35	+30				
BUN >30 mg/dL	+20				
Sodium < 130 mmol/L	+20				
Glucose > 250 mg/dL	+10				
Hematocrit <30%	+10				
Partial Pressure of arterial $O_2 < 60 \text{ mmHg}$	+10				
Pleural Effusion	+10				
	<51		0.1-0.4%		
	51-70	II	0.6 - 0.7%		
	71-90	III	0.9 –2.8%		
	91-30	IV	8.5 – 9.3%		
	>130	V	27 – 31.1%		

Table 3: 2007 ATS Cri	teria for Severe CAP <sup>25</sup>
Major Criteria	Minor Criteria
Invasive mechanical ventilation	<ul> <li>Respiratory rate ≥30 breaths/min</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Septic shock with the need for vasopressors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio ≤250</li> </ul>
	Multi-lobar infiltrates
	Confusion/disorientation
	<ul> <li>Uremia (BUN ≥20 mg/dL)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Leukopenia (WBC &lt;4,000 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Thrombocytopenia (platelets &lt;100,000 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hypothermia (core temperature &lt;36°C)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hypotension requiring aggressive fluid resuscitation</li> </ul>
ICU admission or high-level monitoring unit is recommended when 3 of th	e minor or 1 of the major criteria are present
Proposed Modified AT	S Rule for Severe CAP <sup>24</sup>
Major Criteria	Minor Criteria
Requirement for mechanical ventilation	<ul> <li>PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> &lt;250</li> </ul>
Septic shock	Multi-lobar involvement
	Systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg
ICU admission recommended if 2 out of the 3 minor criteria or 1 major cri	teria are met

Table 4:CURB-65 <sup>10</sup>						
Acronym	Factors	Score	30 Day Mortality Risk:	Admission:		
С	Confusion	1				
U	BUN (>20mg/dL)	1				
R	Respiratory Rate (≥30 breaths/min)	1				
В	Blood Pressure	1				
	(Systolic < 90 mmHg/diastolic ≤60 mmHg)					
65	Age ≥ 65	1				
		0	0.7%	Outpatient		
		1	2.1%	Outpatient		
		2	9.2%	Ward		
		3	14.5%	ICU		
		4	40%	ICU		
		5	57%	ICU		

Table 5: Corticosteroid Equivalencies <sup>37</sup>						
	Potency Relative to Hydrocortisone			Half-Life		
	Equivalent Glucocorticoid Dose (mg)	Anti-inflammatory	Mineral-Corticoid	Plasma (minutes)	Duration of Action (hours)	
Short Acting						
Hydrocortisone (Cortef, Cortisol)	20	1	1	90	8-12	
Cortisone Acetate	25	0.8	0.8	30	8-12	
Intermediate Acting						
Prednisone	5	4	0.8	60	12-36	
Prednisolone	5	4	0.8	200	12-36	
Triamcinolone	4	5	0	300	12-36	
Methylprednisolone	4	5	0.5	180	12-36	
Long Acting						
Dexamethasone	0.75	30	0	200	36-54	
Betamethasone	0.6	30	0	300	36-54	
Mineralocorticoid						
Fludrocortisone	0	15	150	240	24-36	
Aldosterone	0	0	400+	20		

26

Figure 1: CAP Questionnaire:"		
Question	level	coding
1. Are you today (XXth day of the ev	aluation) bothered by shortness of breath when	
	sitting still	oyes ono
	walking around the house/ward	□ yes □ no
	walking in the street	
	taking a shower	□yes □ no
	walking the stairs	□yes □ no
<ol> <li>If you were to give a mark on a 1 t shortness of breath at the moment,</li> </ol>	to 5 scale expressing the severity of your which mark would that be?	
	not at all short of breath (1)	
	slightly short of breath (2)	•
	fairly short of breath (3)	•
	substantially short of breath (4)	
	terribly short of breath (5)	•
3a. Do you cough?		
·····	no (skip questions 3b, c and d)	•
	only in the morning, when getting up	•
	now and then, all through the day	
	frequently, all through the day	•
3b. Do you cough up sputum? (amo	unt of sputum by 24 hrs)	
	no	•
	less than 2 spoons	
	more than 2 spoons	•
	hair a cup or more	•
3c. Do you cough up the sputum wit	th ease?	
	not bothered by sputum	•
	with ease	•
	fairly difficult	
	very difficult	•
3d. What is the color of the sputum?	,	
	did not pay attention/no sputum	•
	transparent	-
	green vellow or brown	
	green, year of broad	-
<ol> <li>When the following statement is o agree with the statement, one of the</li> </ol>	correct, please check the leftmost box, the less you boxes on the right can be ticked off	
l feel fit y	es, that is correct	orrect
5. If you were to give a mark on a 1 t at the moment, which mark would th	to 5 scale expressing your general state of health nat be?	

excellent (1)	•
good (2)	•
fair (3)	•
poor (4)	•
very poor (5)	•

#### Figure 2: CAP Questionnaire:<sup>26</sup>

Item*		Quantification	CAP	Respiratory	Well being
Shortness of breath walking the stairs taking a shower walking in the street washing/dressing walking around the house/ward sitting still	□ yes 1 □ yes 1 □ yes 1 □ yes 1 □ yes 1 □ yes 1 □ yes 1				
subtotal (sum)	0 1 2-3 4-6	□ 6 □ -2 □ -6 □ -8	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	
Severity of shortness not at all short of breat slightly short of breath fairly short of breath ( substantially short of b terribly short of breath	s of breath th (1) (2) 3) breath (4) (5)	□ 7 □ -2 □ -8 □ -11 □ -13	→	→	
Cough No only in the morning, w now and then, all thro frequently, all through	hen getting up ugh the day the day	□ 9 □ -6 □ -6 □ -12	→	→	
Cough up sputum None less than 2 spoons more than 2 spoons half a cup or more		□ 7 □ -8 □ -13 □ -16	→	<b>→</b>	
Cough up sputum wi no sputum with ease fairly difficult very difficult	th ease	□ 7 □ -9 □ -10 □ -10	→	<b>→</b>	
Colour of sputum did not pay attention/r transparent white green, yellow or brow	no sputum	□ 8 □ -8 □ -8 □ -14	÷	<u>+</u>	
Feeling fit yes, that is correct no, that is not correct		□ 12 □ 4 □ 0 □ -8 □ -11	→		→
General state of heal excellent (1) good (2) moderate (3) poor (4) very poor (5)	th	□ 14 □ 8 □ -1 □ -9 □ -15	<u>،</u>		→
Raw total	ODMATION	(sum)	(A)	(B)	(C)
CAP SCORE	= (A + 4	99) / 1.69			
RESPIRATORY SCOR	E = (B + )	73) / 1.17			
WELL BEING CORE	= (C + :	26) / 0.52			